



EAST PARK ENERGY

East Park Energy

EN010141

Statement of Common Ground (Draft)

Environment Agency

Document Reference: EN010141/DR/8.17

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and
Procedure) Regulations 2009: Regulation 5(2)(q)

July 2026
Version P02

EAST PARK ENERGY

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed
Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Statement of Common Ground (Draft)

**between the Applicant
and
Environment Agency**

APFP Regulation Reference:	Regulation 5(2)(q)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference:	EN010141
Application Document Number:	EN010141/DR/8.17
Author:	BSSL Cambsbed 1 Ltd / Environment Agency

Version	Date	Status
P01	April 2026	Deadline 1
P02	July 2026	Deadline 4

© AXIS P.E.D. Ltd 2025. All rights reserved.

This document and its accompanying documents contain information which is confidential and is intended only for the use of the client. If you are not one of the intended recipients any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken in reliance on the contents of the information is strictly prohibited.

Unless expressly agreed, any reproduction of material from this document must be requested and authorised in writing from AXIS P.E.D. Ltd. Authorised reproduction of material must include all copyright and proprietary notices in the same form and manner as the original and must not be modified in any way. Acknowledgement of the source of the material must also be included in all references.

CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	2
1.1	Purpose of this Document	2
1.2	The Scheme	2
1.3	The Site	2
1.4	Status of the SoCG	4
1.5	Structure of the SoCG	4
2.0	Role of the Environment Agency in DCO Process and Summary of Consultation.....	5
2.1	Role of Environment Agency	5
2.2	Summary of Consultation Undertaken.....	5
3.0	Matters of Agreement and Disagreement.....	7
3.1	Introduction.....	7
	Appendix A.....	20

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of this Document

- 1.1.1 This is a Statement of Common Ground (“SoCG”) made between the following parties:

BSSL Cambsbed 1 Ltd (hereafter referred to as the ‘Applicant’)

and

Environment Agency (EA)

- 1.1.2 The purpose and scope of this SoCG is to identify areas of agreement, areas under discussion and, as appropriate, areas of disagreement, between the parties in respect of the assessment of the Applicant’s proposals.

1.2 The Scheme

- 1.2.1 The Scheme comprises a new ground-mounted solar photovoltaic energy generating station and an associated on-site battery energy storage system (BESS) on land to the north-west of St Neots. The Scheme also includes the associated infrastructure for connection to the national grid at the Eaton Socon National Grid Substation.
- 1.2.2 Subject to the Scheme securing Development Consent in Winter 2026/27 it is anticipated that works would start on site in early 2028 and be completed by mid-to late 2030 (although initial energisation of the Scheme is likely to commence prior to 2030). The Scheme comprises a temporary development with an operational phase of 40 years; decommissioning activities would therefore likely commence in 2070, 40 years after commissioning.

1.3 The Site

- 1.3.1 The ‘Site’ is located to the north-west of the town of St Neots, and is across two administrative areas; Bedford Borough Council (BBC) (a unitary authority)

and Huntingdonshire District Council (HDC) (a two-tier authority with Cambridgeshire County Council). The Site location is shown on **ES Vol 3 Figure 1-1: Site Location [APP-120]**. The Site area extends to approximately 773 hectares (ha).

1.3.2 With reference to **ES Vol 3 Figure 1-2: Site References [APP-120]**, for ease of reference the Order Limits have been sub-divided into East Park Sites A to D, in which all of the above ground infrastructure proposed as part of the operational Scheme would be located (excluding works to the Eaton Socon Substation). The Order Limits also cover land outside of East Park Sites A to D which will be required for access, cabling, and the grid connection to the Eaton Socon Substation. East Park Sites A to D can be described as follows:

- **East Park Site A** – covering land west of the B660 between Pertenhall and Swineshead at the western end of the Site. East Park Site A comprises arable fields located to the north, west and east side of a small hill that lies between Pertenhall and Riseley. East Park Site A lies either side of the Pertenhall Brook, with access proposed from the B660 to the east.
- **East Park Site B** – covering land between Pertenhall, Keysoe, and Little Staughton. East Park Site B comprises arable fields located north of an elevated ridgeline which runs between Keysoe and Little Staughton. East Park Site B is crossed by a number of small watercourses, with access proposed from the B660, Great Staughton Road, Little Staughton Road, and an unnamed road between Little Staughton and Great Staughton Road.
- **East Park Site C** – covering land south of Great Staughton. East Park Site C comprises arable fields located south of the River Kym, with access proposed from Moor Road to its south-eastern boundary, and from Little Staughton Road to the north-west.

- **East Park Site D** – covering land around Pastures Farm between Great Staughton and Hail Weston. East Park Site D comprises arable fields with access proposed via a new access from the B645.

1.3.3 With reference to **ES Vol 3 Figure 1-2: Site References [APP-120]**, there are three linear corridors proposed for underground cabling that connect the different parts of the Site and provide a grid connection to the Eaton Socon Substation. These are identified as:

- **Cable Corridor** – Site B to Site C – which connects Site B to Site C across an unnamed road and arable fields.
- **Cable Corridor** – Site C to Site D – which connects Site C to Site D across Moor Road and an arable field.
- **Grid Connection** – Site D to Eaton Socon Substation – which connects Site D to the Eaton Socon Substation and crosses open arable fields, the Duloe Brook, and Duloe Road and Bushmead Road.

1.4 Status of the SoCG

1.4.1 This SoCG is a ‘live’ document that will be updated and amended as the Examination phase progresses. It identifies the matters relating to the Scheme that have been agreed between the parties, the matters under discussion, together with other matters not agreed. It is intended that it will be finalised and signed by the Applicant and the EA as requested by the Examining Authority during the Examination of the submitted application.

1.5 Structure of the SoCG

1.5.1 This SoCG is structured as follows:

- Section 2.0 – provides a summary of consultation undertaken with the EA; and
- Section 3.0 – sets out whether matters are ‘agreed’, ‘under discussion’, or ‘not agreed’.

1.5.2 A signing sheet between the Applicant and the EA is provided at Appendix A.

2.0 ROLE OF THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY IN DCO PROCESS AND SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION

2.1 Role of Environment Agency

2.1.1 The EA is a regulator and statutory consultee as prescribed under Schedule 1 of the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (as amended).

2.1.2 The EA is responsible for managing the risk of flooding from main rivers, reservoirs, estuaries and the sea, and a range of other environmental matters such as waste regulation, treatment of contaminated land, water quality and resources, and fisheries and biodiversity.

2.2 Summary of Consultation Undertaken

2.2.1 The SoCG has been informed by the meetings summarised in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Consultation between the Applicant and the Environment Agency

Date	Method of Consultation	Points of Discussion
01/12/2023	MS Teams	• Introductions • Scheme overview and timescales • EA Development Engagement Protocol
11/09/2025	MS Teams	• Project updates • Draft DCO • Consents and licencing
13/11/2025	MS Teams	• FRAP Disapplication
17/02/2026	MS Teams	• Surface Water Flood Modelling
13/03/2026	MS Teams	• Statement of Common Ground
06/05/2026	MS Teams	• Progress Meeting • Statement of Common Ground

Date	Method of Consultation	Points of Discussion
25/06/2026	MS Teams	• Progress Meeting • Statement of Common Ground

3.0 MATTERS OF AGREEMENT AND DISAGREEMENT

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The matters covered by the SoCG on which agreement between the parties has been sought include (but are not necessarily limited to) the following matters as requested in Annex F of the Examining Authority's **Rule 6 Letter [PD-005]**:

- EIA Methodology;
- Water environment and flood risk, including ground and surface water protection, drainage, geology and soils;
- Climate change effects;
- Various Environmental Management Plans, both during construction and operation;
- Any 'Shadow' licence applications; and
- The dDCO.

3.1.2 The matters that have been agreed, are under discussion, or are not agreed between the Applicant and the EA are set out in Table 2.

Table 2: Position between the Applicant and the Environment Agency on matters of discussion / consultation

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
Topic: Draft Development Consent Order				
01	The Applicant's approach to securing the outline Operational Environment Management Plan (OEMP). <i>EA Issue ID: 001</i>	The EA wished to be listed as a named consultee on the discharge of Requirement 9 (relating to the OEMP).	The Applicant has amended Requirement 9 in the next revision of the draft DCO to include the Environment Agency as a consultee. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
02	The Applicant's approach to securing the Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP). <i>EA Issue ID: 002</i>	The EA wished to be listed as a named consultee on the discharge of Requirement 10 (relating to the BSMP) to ensure the mitigation of potential risks to controlled waters.	The Applicant has amended Requirement 10 in the next revision of the draft DCO to include the Environment Agency as a consultee. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
03	The Applicant's approach to securing the Surface Water Management Plan (SWMP). <i>EA Issue ID: 003</i>	The EA wish to be listed as a named consultee on the discharge of Requirement 14 (relating to the SWMP) to ensure the mitigation of potential risks to controlled waters.	The Applicant has amended Requirement 14 in the next revision of the draft DCO to include the Environment Agency as a consultee. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
04	The Applicant's approach to including Environment Agency protective provisions in the draft DCO.	Following confirmation the Applicant will apply for a Flood Risk Activity Permit, the EA wished for the Applicant to: Remove from the draft DCO [REP1-006] the disapplication of	The Applicant has updated Article 8 of the draft DCO [REP3-009] to remove the disapplication of regulation 12 (requirement for environmental permit) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
	<i>EA Issue ID 004</i>	regulation 12 (requirement for environmental permit) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016. Remove the Protective Provisions for the Environment Agency from Schedule 13 of the draft DCO [REP1-006].	The Applicant has consequently also removed Schedule 14 Part 4 (Protective Provisions for the Protection of the Environment Agency) from the draft DCO [REP3-009]. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	
Topic: Water Quality and Resources				
05	The Applicant's approach to the use of Per and Polyfluoroalkyl Substance (PFAS) in relation to panels. <i>EA Issue ID: 005</i>	The EA is in agreement with the measures provided by the Applicant to ensure that PFAS is appropriately managed.	The Applicant welcomes the EA's agreement regarding the measures provided.	Matter Agreed
06	The Applicant's approach to foul water in relation to welfare facilities during decommissioning. <i>EA Issue ID: 006</i>	The EA requested further information on foul sewer connections within the scheme so as to show groundwater will not be at risk from pollution. The EA consider the Applicant's approach to managing foul water to be appropriate.	Paragraph 4.1.24 of the oDEMP [REP1-038] has been amended to include an explicit statement consistent with paragraph 4.1.25 of the oCEMP [APP-125]. This ensures consistency with regard to the storage/collection of foul/wastewater. The outline Waste Management Plan (oWMP) [APP-164] confirms waste carriers and receiving facilities (including licencing details) will be recorded in the final WMP. All off-site waste movements will be logged during each phase of the scheme by the Environmental Manager.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
			The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	
07	The Applicant's approach to preventing pollution from chemicals, fuels etc during construction and decommissioning. <i>EA Issue ID: 007</i>	The EA request the provided information on heavy matting and secondary containment systems be included as a commitment in the oCEMP, oOEMP and oDEMP to ensure the measures are secured in the control documentation.	The Applicant confirms that the storage of fuels, oils, chemicals and other potentially polluting liquids during construction will be confined to specifically designed storage areas within the temporary construction compounds (or other controlled locations), and will be provided with an impermeable base and appropriate secondary containment (for example bunding and/or drip trays), with access controls and weather protection as appropriate. The Applicant has discussed this matter with the EA and notes that this matter is agreed in principle, subject to the Applicant submitting an updated oOEMP at Deadline 4. The Applicant has submitted the updated oOEMP [as updated alongside this submission] with the EA's requested amendment in Table 5.8.	Matter Agreed (in principle)
08	The Applicant's approach to the decommissioning of cabling infrastructure. <i>EA Issue ID: 008</i>	The EA is satisfied with the inclusion of a commitment to assess the environmental impact of leaving infrastructure in situ versus removal at the time.	The Applicant amended the oDEMP [REP1-039] in accordance with the EA's suggestion to take into account contemporary best practice and regulatory guidance at the point of decommissioning, whilst assessing the environmental implications of removing versus retaining sub-surface infrastructure. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
09	The Applicant's approach to land management and the use of chemicals. <i>EA Issue ID: 009</i>	The EA is satisfied with the measures outlined by the Applicant managing the risk of the introduction of contaminants to groundwater during research into the co-location of agriculture and solar generation (e.g. herbicides, pesticides).	The oOEMP [REP1-037] has been updated to include a new sub-section in Section 4.0 to provide controls on 'Management of Agricultural Inputs and Protection of Groundwater'. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
10	The Applicant's approach to water supply strategy. <i>EA Issue ID: 012</i>	The EA is satisfied with the Applicant's water supply strategy, but has made a number of recommendations that the Applicant could consider.	The Applicant is proposing to tanker water to site from a commercial or licenced source during construction for uses such as dust suppression, earthworks, concrete mixing, wheel washing, etc. Rainwater harvesting will also be used where practicable. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
11	The Applicant's assessment of impacts to Private Water Supplies. <i>EA Issue ID: 018</i>	The EA consider the Applicant's assessment of impacts to private water supplies to be acceptable.	The private water supplies (PWS) confirmed by Bedford Borough Council were assessed for potential impacts from the development. The Site is within the River Kym valley, while the PWS locations are on the opposite side of a prominent topographic ridge. This creates a hydrological divide where surface runoff and shallow groundwater from the Site are directed toward the River Kym, while the PWS are served by a different sub-catchment. The Applicant is not proposing any groundwater abstractions.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
			The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	
12	The Applicant's approach to water quality monitoring. <i>EA Issue ID: 019</i>	The EA had concerns that if a water quality monitoring plan is not suitably designed then it may not be able to detect relevant trends, if any, on water quality during the construction and operation phases. The EA has reviewed the Applicant's updated documents and is now satisfied with the measures proposed.	The outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-155] , outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [APP-157] , and outline Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan [APP-158] commit to the preparation of a detailed water quality monitoring plan as part of the final approved version of each document. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
13	The Applicant's approach to assessing private water supplies in the ground conditions chapter of the ES. <i>EA Issue ID: 023</i>	The EA requested that the Applicant ensures risks to private water supplies are assessed for each phase of the works in ES Vol 1 Chapter 12 Ground Conditions [APP-048]. The EA is now satisfied with the Applicant's assessment.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
14	The Applicant's approach to groundwater monitoring. <i>EA Issue ID: 024</i>	The EA consider the Applicant's approach to groundwater monitoring to be acceptable.	The Applicant updated Table 5.8 of the outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [REP1-033] to include reference to a groundwater monitoring programme. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
15	The Applicant's approach to mitigating impacts from concrete on water quality during construction. <i>EA Issue ID: 031</i>	The EA is satisfied with the Applicant's approach to mitigating impacts from concrete on water quality during construction following the recommended updates to the oCEMP.	The Applicant updated the oCEMP [REP1-033] to include a ' <i>Concrete and Cement-Based Materials</i> ' sub-section within Section 4 to ensure that the pollution risks from concrete are managed, as requested by the Environment Agency. The final CEMP to secure the detail on these matters will be provided in accordance with Requirement 5 of the draft DCO [AS-008] . The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
16	The Applicant's approach to the storage of fuel, oil and chemicals in relation to water quality. <i>EA Issue ID: 032</i>	The EA had concerns that, if refuelling and storage of fuels, oils and chemicals is not suitably managed then there is an increased risk of pollutants entering the water environment and decreasing the water quality. The EA welcome the updates to the oSWMP and oCEMP on this matter.	The Applicant updated the oSWMP [REP3-064] and the oCEMP [REP3-048] to include the additional mitigation measures requested by the EA. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
17	The Applicant's approach to managing washout water. <i>EA Issue ID: 033</i>	The EA had concerns that if wash water is not managed correctly, it can enter surface watercourses and decrease water quality due to any contamination that may be present. The EA welcome the updates to the oSWMP and oCEMP on this matter.	The Applicant has updated the oSWMP [REP3-064] and the oCEMP [REP3-048] to include the additional mitigation measures requested by the EA. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
18	The Applicant's approach to BESS design and management in relation to fire safety and decommissioning. <i>EA Issue ID: 035</i>	The EA was unclear how batteries will be removed, stored at the end of life, or after a fire-event, and how the impermeably lined areas will be thoroughly cleaned after a fire-event. Further details of post-incident recovery, isolation valves and maintenance should be updated in the oBSMP.	The Applicant has provided clarifications on the points raised by the EA with reference to EA-D2-10 in the Applicant Response to Deadline 2 Submissions - Host Authorities and Statutory Environmental Bodies [REP3-073] . The Applicant has discussed these responses and the proposed amendments with the EA and understands that the matter can be marked as agreed in principle, subject to the Applicant submitting the updated oBSMP [as updated alongside this submission] at Deadline 4.	Matter Agreed (in principle)
19	The Applicant's approach to the WFD assessment. <i>EA Issue ID: 036</i>	The EA was concerned that relevant management plans are not referenced in the WFD Assessment. The EA is now satisfied with the Applicant's amendments to the WFD assessment.	The Applicant updated the WFD Assessment [REP3-040] at Deadline 3. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
Topic: Flood Risk and Drainage				
20	The Applicant's approach to assessing the impacts of flood risk, accounting for climate change. <i>EA Issue ID: 013</i>	The EA had concerns that climate change impacts on flood risk have not been appropriately assessed within the submitted FRA. The assessment of flood risk to the development could be inaccurate and underestimated. The EA are satisfied with the Applicant's approach to assessing the	The Applicant has undertaken additional pluvial hydraulic modelling for the Scheme, applying climate change allowances for the 2080s epoch. It was agreed at a meeting with the EA that pluvial modelling can be taken as representative of the flooding mechanisms from the minor watercourses through the Site.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
		impacts of flood risk accounting for climate change following updates to the FRA.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	
21	The Applicant's approach to assessing the credible maximum scenario flood event. <i>EA Issue ID: 014</i>	The EA had concerns that the assessment of flood risk to the development could be inaccurate and underestimated. The EA are satisfied with the Applicant's approach to assessing the credible maximum scenario flood event following changes to the FRA.	The Applicant has undertaken additional pluvial hydraulic modelling for the Scheme, applying climate change allowances for the 2080s epoch. It was agreed at a meeting with the EA that pluvial modelling can be taken as representative of the flooding mechanisms from the minor watercourses through the Site. The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
22	The Applicant's approach to solar panel freeboard. <i>EA Issue ID: 015</i>	The EA had concerns as to whether the flood risk to Solar PV panels could be underestimated which could lead to some panels not operating during times of flooding. The EA considers the amendments to Chapter 8 Hydrology and Flood Risk regarding the proposed mitigation measures to be acceptable. The EA requested the Applicant include details within the FRA regarding the volume of storage lost from solar panel support frames and the effect on flood risk elsewhere. The EA is satisfied with the Applicant's updated assessment.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
23	The Applicant's approach to groundwater sensitivity. <i>EA Issue ID: 016</i>	The EA had concerns that inconsistencies in sensitivities could lead to inadequate assessments and mitigation measures being proposed. Therefore, the EA requested the Applicant ensures the consistency of sensitivities for groundwater receptors. The EA is satisfied with the amendments made by the Applicant.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
24	The Applicant's approach to assessing groundwater impacts. <i>EA Issue ID: 017</i>	The EA had concerns that the assessment of impacts and effects on groundwater may be incorrect, and residual risks may remain. The EA is satisfied with the Applicant's approach to assessing groundwater impacts following changes to ES Vol 1 Chapter 8 Hydrology and Flood Risk.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
Topic: Ground Conditions				
25	The Applicant's approach to assessing maximum parameters. <i>EA Issue ID: 020</i>	The EA had concerns that maximum BESS foundation depth given in Chapter 12 exceeds the maximum given in Chapter 2. The description in Chapter 2 was given as a worst case, and Chapter 12 should use this information. The EA is now satisfied	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
		with the Applicant's updated assessment.		
26	The Applicant's approach to sensitivity in superficial geology. <i>EA Issue ID: 021</i>	The EA is now satisfied with the Applicant's approach to sensitivity in superficial geography following the relevant amendments.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
27	The Applicant's approach to piling risk and groundwater. <i>EA Issue ID: 022</i>	The EA is now satisfied with the Applicant's approach to piling risk and groundwater following the commitment for a piling risk assessment prior to construction.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
28	The Applicant's approach to hardscaping design. <i>EA Issue ID: 025</i>	The EA is satisfied with the approach to hardscaping design following clarification from the Applicant.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
29	The Applicant's approach to the Unexpected Contamination Protocol (UCP). <i>EA Issue ID: 026</i>	The EA is satisfied with the approach to a UCP following the relevant updates to the oOEMP.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
30	The Applicant's approach to mitigation measures regarding ground conditions.	The EA is satisfied with the approach to mitigation measures regarding ground conditions following the	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
	<i>EA Issue ID: 027</i>	addition of such measures to ES Vol 2 Appendix 2-3 Site Preparation Works.		
Topic: Land Use and Soils				
31	The Applicant's approach to the Unexpected Contamination Protocol in relation to soils. <i>EA Issue ID: 034</i>	The EA is satisfied with the approach to the UCP in relation to soils following confirmation that Section 6.3 of the oSMP applies to all phases of the scheme and the securing of the UCP in the oOEMP.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
Topic: Ecology and Biodiversity				
32	The Applicant's approach to otter surveys. <i>EA Issue ID: 010</i>	The EA now consider the approach to otter surveys sufficient following the commitment to implement pre-construction otter surveys in the oCEMP.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
33	The Applicant's approach to watercourse buffers. <i>EA Issue ID: 011</i>	The EA is now satisfied with the approach to watercourse buffers following confirmation the riparian buffer will be measured from the bank-top of all watercourses.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
34	The Applicant's approach to ditch creation in relation	The EA is satisfied with the approach to ditch creation in relation to BNG following clarification as to why the	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed

Ref.	Summary of Matter	Environment Agency Position	Applicant Position	Status
	to Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG). <i>EA Issue ID: 028</i>	new ditches are not included in the watercourse BNG metric.		
35	The Applicant's approach to watercourse uplift in relation to Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG). <i>EA Issue ID: 029</i>	The EA is satisfied with the approach to watercourse uplift in relation to BNG given the voluntary uplift in biodiversity units	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
36	The Applicant's approach to managing great crested newts. <i>EA Issue ID: 030</i>	The EA has concerns about the potential for great crested newts to be negatively impacted by the proposed works and for the works not to comply with great crested newt legislation. The EA consider the updates to the oCEMP address their concerns about the potential for great crested newts to be negatively impacted by works.	The Applicant welcomes agreement with the EA on this matter.	Matter Agreed
Topic: Waste				
37	The Applicant's approach to waste management generally.	The EA considers the oWMP, as the core waste document, to be well-structured and directly addresses EA regulatory expectations, providing a blueprint for the final WMP required by the DCO.	The Applicant welcomes the EA's confirmation that the oWMP [APP-164] is well-structured and directly addresses EA regulatory expectations.	Matter Agreed

APPENDIX A

BSSL Cambsbed 1 Ltd

Name:

Signature:

Position:

On behalf of:

BSSL Cambsbed 1 Ltd

Date:

Environment Agency

Name:

Signature:

Position:

On behalf of:

Environment Agency

Date:
